

May 12, 1975

course, the type recommended by Curtis and Davis and HDR. The important thing, and I stress again, is the fact that we must take action this session. You will note that the fiscal impact of building the two units is even less than the one unit because the ten million dollars that was in the original LB 417, we find, included an expandable service corps based to 400, which was not contemplated by the original bill. The original LB 417 should have read 8.1 million rather than 10 million because it was restricted to 300 beds according to the bill. This will give the Corrections Department more leeway with regard to their need for beds. They can have an expandable base up to 450 under the new plan. I would like to close with this statement that was in Don Walton's column this morning concerning the fact that something is got to be done and the fact that whether or not we do anything is going to be the important thing, not whether we argue about whose plan is the best plan. We must decide that we have got to come to a decision and do something.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, I, merely, want to endorse and underscore what Senator Luedtke has said, that all members of the Judiciary Committee are in support of this amendment. There has been much thought and much time put into a study of corrections needs in the state and at this point, the Legislature now has the responsibility and is being given the opportunity to take a bold step in the direction that we ought to have taken a long time ago. I think the vote this morning should be a demonstration of the solidarity and support for the concept of reform contained in this amendment and the idea that there will not be a large collecting tank but rather facilities which will be supportable by the community that send most of the people, numerically, to the institutions in the first place. I believe that most of the differences that could have existed to separate people have been worked out and I urge that you support this amendment very strongly this morning.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think Senator Luedtke has done an excellent job of explaining the amendment that is proposed to you. I simply would like to underscore a couple of comments that have been made. One, that Senator Chambers made, and this is that the Judiciary Committee is unanimous in supporting the two site concept that was embodied in the Cavanaugh amendment that is now being adjusted by this particular amendment. The second thing I would like to underscore is that this particular amendment, as now drafted, in terms of the number of beds in a minimum medium security facility or facilities that would be available and the time that they would be available gives the Department of Corrections everything that they were asking for in the original 417. We spent, a number of us, a considerable amount of time last week attempting to work out some form of a compromise with the Governor and this effort at compromise did not succeed. The Governor is adamant in wanting to stick with the single site complex, the one single large institution, and, of course, we feel that the concept of a separated